WD2239.B49(

App.P.IX-4]

1925.

File No. 158-25

Previous References. (Irrigation)

Irrig 165-22 12 & 13
" 8-24-1-5

Serial Nos. 1- 7

Later references.
232-25-1-2

17

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 7

Part B for April 1926

SUBJECT

Re Progress made in the execution of Irrigation works during the first year or half year -

G.O. No 13-15
Dated 5.7.26

To the Irrig. Commr
" Ch Engr
Surg & D.

Certain observations under consideration are communicated to the Chief Engr for needful action

[signature]

REPLY

(*To be written on this margin.*)

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

NOTE

Dated.....192

Mus. D. No-

Mus/188-25-1

from the Chief Engr. & of the Rent Com

a/s. Mayo

Conf. h

5/1

S.T.

5/1-5

2) The Latest orders on the Subject are contd. in G.O. No. 1026-36/Mus 8-24-5 dt 12.1.25. No Cases of unreasonable delay have been brought to notice since then. If the Municipal Engr. now has the Engr. sent copies of his reports in progress reports sent to him by the Exe. Engr.

Rev. Sec.)

7.1.26

7/1.

The meeting 31/8/1 N/

205/9.1

mur 188 -25

D.757

Serial No

4) Two drafts, one to the Ch. Engr. and one to the Mun: Comr., are subd. as per order 11-1-26 dd. I regret that the matter had been lost sight of. Nec: action will be taken in time hereafter. The drafts putd. may be appd.

11-1-26 For approval.

Rev. Secl.

5) 1st. member

27/12/1

2. To. Ch. Engr.

No 840 dt 13.1.26

Invites his attention to G.O No 1026-36 dt 12.1.25 and enquires why the reviews on the Quarterly Reports have not been subd to Govt & calls for the statt for the Quarter ending 31.12.25 with his review thereon & calls punctual subn of his reviews in future

3. To. Mun Commr. 841. dt 13.1.26

Enquires whether Copies of the execution of Progress reports on Mun Works being rcvd by him from the Exe Engrs

Mun 15/1

File No. of 192

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.	

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

7r the Chief Engineer

No. 11896 dy 17.4.1926.

Reports that there are no cases of un-ordinate delay and that reports received from Executive Engineers are all needed.

8) Under the direction conveyed in para 3 of the Govt order dy 12. January, it seems incumbent on the Chief Engineer to submit to Govt his review on the reports received by him from the Executive Engineers. The fact that there are no instances of inordinate delay in the Execution of works by this Board does not preclude the necessity for a general review on the reports.

9) Reply due from the Nagpur Com will be awaited, since the last reminder was issued only on the 22nd ult.

Submitted for orders

22/4 Rev. Secy 22/4/26

10) The C.E may be requested to submit a brief review for the info of Govt.

1st March

23/4 26/4

11) The C.E's attention may be invited to para 3 of Govt dy 12-1-25 in which he has already stated that no separate Govt sanction for

720/2614

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—GPB—40 000—31-7-2A

File No. of 192

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.	

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

[illegible]

12) Draft Subd

28/4

13) Issued

28/4

5. To Ch Engr. No 1397 dt 1-5-26

Incloses Yee to Rema 3 of the G.O of 12.1.25 and calls for the report for the quarter ending 31.3.26.

7. The Chief Engineer

No 14119 of the 12th June 1926.

Forwards for perusal a return Statements showing the progress made on the construction of, or repairs to Nazrai institutions for the Quarter ending 31st March 1926.

6.

14) Paras. 2 & 3 of the chief engineers letter is practically the review of the Statements ~~which the Statements sent to~~ the Dist. Comm's ~~are yet due.~~ Subd with a draft reminder to the Dist C for approval.

18/6. 19.6.26

15) Rev. Secy)

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 0569—GPB—40,000—31-7-21

pp. F. III—2]

File No. of 192

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.	

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

1907

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Misc, File No. 186 of 19 [illegible]

Serial No.

16) Govt Go dated 12.12.25 the Chief Engineer was requested to review the progress reports of Mysore works and bring to the notice of Govt cases of inordinate delay. This order was ignored by the CE and when this attention was invited to it early this year, the replied that he was requested to review the reports and bring to notice of Govt cases where there was delay and as there had been no delay he simply recorded the reports. He has again urged to send the reports with his review and in this forwarding letter to PWD admits that there have been inordinate delays in certain cases which is a more than borne out by the records. It is unfortunate that a Govt should be ignored by an officer like the CE and the neglect should be sought to be explained by a reason which is subsequently admitted to be not quite accurate. Even now there is no review submitted.

Govt.
22.6.26

2nd member)

1011/27.6. 17) We may direct the Statements in detail. Please draft. Misc 27.6.26

Govt
15/7/26

7 To, Chf Engr Mys Comm & Secy. PWD.
No 13-15 & 6.7.26
Passes orders re Scrutiny Off & receive Govt's quarterly statts of progress on the execution of Mysore Wks

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Bag 17

2 Drafts

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

Section Mur---

File No. 188-25

Seria No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to... 757

No. Irrig. 840

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 13/1/26

Signed by.....

To The Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore

Sir,

I am directed to invite your attention to G.O. No 1026-36/Mug 8-24-5 & 12-1-25 and ~~to state point~~ out that in accordance with the last para of the order you are required to submit to Govt the quarterly progress reports received from the Executive Engineers, with a copy of your review thereon ~~I am~~ to enquire why ~~you have~~ Your reports on the ~~not sent your review on the~~ Irrigation works of Mysore Works quarterly ~~statements~~ still now ~~had~~ have not been received and to request that the same

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by..... executed by me

for the quarter ending 31-12-25,
with a copy of ~~the~~ your review thereon, may be submitted
before the end of ~~the month following the quarter~~ the month of January 26,
and ~~that~~ that ~~the~~ your reviews for
each quarter are ~~in future~~ may be punctually submitted
before the close of the
month following the quarter.

I have etc

11-1-26

Secretary
Rev: Dept.

11-1-26

F. VI. Gl.]

2 Dr. ad GB

SUBJECT.....

Section Mun

File No. 188-25

Diary No. of letter replied to... 757.

Seria No. 3

No. Mun. 8 41

To The Mun: Court in Mysore, Bangalore.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mun)

the 192

Sir,

Date of issue. 13/1/26

I am directed to invite your attention to G.O. No. 1026-36/Mun 8-26-5 & 12-1-25 and to enquire whether, under para 2 of the orde copies of the quarterly statemen are being regularly received by you from the Ex: Engineers and scrutinized in your offic

Signed by.....

A/c of progress on Mysore works entrusted to the P.W.D for execution

I have the

11.1.26

Secretary

Rev: Dept

List of enclosures.....

Copied by..... 11-1-26

Examined by.....

over

WD 1998—GPB—5,000—27-4-25

No. 11896

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore, 16 April *1926.*

GEN. & REV. SECT. 19 APR 26 MUZRAI
D/1232
Muz - 188-25-4

GEN. & REV. SECTT. Bg. 17 APR. 1926 To G. D. SECTT.

JOHN BHORE, Esq., A.M.I.C.E.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

B.Srinivasaiengar,Esq., B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department,

Bangalore

SIR Quarterly progress report on Muzrai Works in the State.

With reference to your letter No.840/Muz.188-25-2 dated the 13th January 1926 calling for a statement showing the progress on Muzrai works undertaken by the P.W.D. for the quarter ended 31st December 1925, I have the honour to inform you that in para 3 of G.O. No.1026-36/Muz.8-24-5 dated the 12th January 1925 it is stated the reports received from the Executive Engineer should be reviewed by the Chief Engineer suitable notice being taken of cases of inordinate delay that may be observed from the statement, but from the individua reports that are being received from the Executive Engineers of Divisions it is seen that all the works in progress are strictly in accordance with the estimates sanctioned by competent authority and that there are no cases of inordinate delay. The reports are all recorded. If however you are still of opinion that a review has to be made by this office as well it will be attended to from the present quarter.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Jno Bhore
16.4.26

V.N.S.15th. Chief Engineer of Mysore.

SUBJECT. ....

....

.. ..

Section Mucz

File No. 188 - 25

Diary No. of letter replied to... . . ..

Seria No. 5

No. 1397

To The Chief Engineer

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Sir,

Date of issue 1-5-26

Signed by .....

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter no 1189 of the 17th April 1926, regarding the periodical review of the progress reports of Mugai works executed by the P.W.D. I am directed to invite your attention to para 3 of the Govt order no 1026-36/Mug 8-24 of 12.1.1925 in which it is clearly stated that the Ch. Engr. should submit for the perusal of Govt the progress report received from

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

~~the~~ Executive Engineers in refusal
herewith with a copy of your review
thereon, and I request ~~him~~ You to submit according
~~the~~ for the information of Govt.
the reports received from the Executive
Engineers for the quarter ending 31st March 1926, together
with a copy of ~~the~~ your review thereon

~~Many acknowledge the intimation~~

~~for the information of Govt~~

Have Sh

29/4
Secretary to Govt
Revenue Dept.

28/4/26

No. 14 119
Eg 8H5

WD 1212—GPB—1920—22 12 24

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore, 12 June 1926.



2157

FROM



JOHN BHORE, Esq., A.M.I.C.E., Etc.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Muz 188-25 6

C. Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Progress Report on Muzrai Works in the State undertaken by the Public Works Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.1397/Muz.188-25-5 dated the 1st May 1926, I have the honor to forward, herewith, for the perusal of Government and return, the statements showing the progress made on the construction of, or repairs to Muzrai institutions in the State for the quarter ended 31st March 1926, received from the Executive Engineers of the several Divisions.

2. From the individual statements now forwarded, it will be seen that there were on the whole 146 works in progress during the quarter under review and out of which 28 have been completed; while some of the works in progress were sanctioned recently.

3. It is further seen from the statement that estimates for petty works are allowed to drag on and there are inordinate delays in certain cases. The attention of the Executive Engineers concerned has been drawn to Circular No.2298-300/Muz.165-22-13 dated the 16th February 1923 and they have been requested to see that better progress is secured and works completed soon.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Jno Bhore 10-6
Chief Engineer of Mysore.

H AR/9th.

Proceedings of the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d.-

Correspondence ending with letter No. 14119 dated 12th June 1926 from the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., submitting statements showing progress made in the execution of works ~~relating~~ relating to Muzrai Institutions in the quarter ended 31st March 1926.

Order No. 13-15 Enr ... Muz 188-25-7 ... 46

Dated, Bangalore the 6th July 1926

Government observe that the progress reports do not appear to have been reviewed by the Chief Engineer as required in para 3 of G.O.No.1026-36/Muz.8-24-5 dated 12th January 1925 nor detailed instructions given by him in respect of individual works issued for their proper execution and timely completion. He is requested to review such statements in detail for future quarters and send a copy of his review for the information of Government.

2. The following observations of Government regarding works shown in the statements under consideration are communicated to the Chief Engineer. He is requested to take needful action early.

Division.	Name of work.	Remarks.
Kadur.	Constructing Sri Ganapathi Temple at Vasishtashrama.	It is about 18 months since this ~~work~~ was sanctioned; but no outlay is shown again it. Why it has not been taken up for execution may be reported.

O.N.-

Item No.1 in the Kadur List.- The Chief Engineer states that the sanction of Government is awaited to the modified estimate for this work. Please note where the case is pending and why.

Division.	Name of work.	Remarks.
Kadur (contd.)	Retoring Sri Lakshmi Lakshminarayanswami Temple at Sampige Mutt, Bhandigadi.	This is a comparatively work and it has been under execution for about 18 mont Early arrangements may be ma for its completion.
	Constructing a bath room in the Kalesesvara temple at Kalasa.	The authority for this estimate is not noted in the statement
Shimoga-		Out of 22 works shown in this statement, outlay in the quarter under report is shown against only one work. The explanation given in the ~~grants~~ column is indefinite and it does not indicate why there is so much of slackness in the execution of works. Work on a number of sanctioned estimates does not appear to have been even commenced. The position in this division as seen from the statements to be very unsatisfactory ~~and he is requested to take~~ effective action to make this division better by better attention to the execution of Muzrai Works.
Hassan.	Repairing Sree Kesava temple at Grama,	The estimate for this work has been opened since 6th May 1924 and no outlay whatever has been incurred in the current year. The delay requires explanation and the work has to be completed without furtner delay.
	Repairing Sri Lakshmi Janardanaswamy Temple at Bylahalli.	The estimate for this work was sanctioned so far back as 23rd August 1922 and it still remains unexecuted. Instructions should be issued for the execution of this work without further delay.
	Repairing the temple and car of Srilakshmi Narasimhaswamy at Nuggehalli.	No outlay appears to have been incurred in the first nine months of the current year. The matter requires enquiry.
	Rapairing Anjaneyaswami temple at Yeliyur.	The estimate for this work was sanctioned in April 1920 has so far remained and it is now stated that ~~the~~ modified estimate is under preparation. Why the matter remained unattended to for over six years requires explanation.

Division.	Name of work.	Remarks.
Hassan (contd.)	Repairing the car of Sri Lakshminarasimhaswamy at Hole-Narsipur.	This is a very small estimate costing Rs 260 and it was sanctioned so far back as April 1924. The work is stated to be in progress, but absolutely no outlay is shown till the end of last year or in the current year. The matter require enquiry.
	Repairing Sri Agastaswaraswamy Temple at Ramanathpur.	The estimate for this work costing Rs 2,480 was sanctioned so far back as 18th September 1917. It is now nearly nine years and yet the work has not been completed. The statement shows no outlay whatever as having been incurred in the current year. The inordinate delay requires explanation.
	Repairing Sree Ramaswaraswamy Temple at Ramanathpur.	The date of sanction to the estimate is not noted. Only about one-fifth of the estimated expenditure of Rs 994 is shown as having been incurred and no outlay whatever appears in the column for the current year. The matter requires enquiry.
	Repairing Sree Pattabiramaswamy Temple at Ramanathpur.	Number and date of sanction is not noted. Some work is reported to have been carried out and the remaining work, it is stated, will be finished shortly; but absolutely no expenditure is shown either to the end of last year or in the current year. The statement in regard to any work having been carried at all cannot therefore be accepted. The matter requires enquiry.
	Repairing Sree Channakesavaswamy Temple at Mallipatna.	Same remarks as above.
Mysore.	Repairing the car wheels of Sri Ranganathaswamy at Seringapatam.	The work is reported to have been completed with an outlay of Rs 763 without any sanctioned estimate. The circumstances in which the work was executed without obtaining sanction may be reported.
	Repairing Sri Mallikarjunaswamy temple at Bettadapur.	The estimate for this work was sanctioned so far back as 16th December 1920 and the outlay incurred against it is only Rs 101. The inordinate delay in carrying out this work requires explanation.

Division.	Name of work.	Remarks.
Mysore (contd.)	Making good the slips below the kitchen on the eastern side of Sri Yoga Narasimnaswamy Temple at Melkote.	Number and date of authority sanctioning the estimate are not noted.
	Restoring Chamasagara tank for water supply to Chamarajanagar Town.	An outlay of Rs 4,000 is noted as having been incurred and yet it is stated in the remarks column that the work has not yet been taken up for want of funds. The work itself appears to have been sanctioned so far back as March 1920. The inordinate delay of over six years requires explanation.
Bangalore.	Repairing Champakarama-swami temple at Bannerghatta.	This work was sanctioned on 20th April 1924 and the outlay so far incurred does not represent even one-half of the sanctioned estimate of Rs 2,968. The delay in execution requires explanation.
	Improving the Sri Ramadevaru and Anjenayaswami temple at Melkote.	The amount of estimate is Rs 500 and the outlay against it to end of 1924-25 is noted as Rs 465. The balance of allotment required is only Rs 35 and why in such circumstances, no outlay has been incurred in the current year and in what circumstances funds are "stated to be awaited" may be explained.
Tumkur.		Of the six works shown in the statement for this district, outlay in the quarter under review appears only against one. Prompt arrangements should be made for carrying out sanctioned works.

27626

Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

27/6/26

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
" Chief Engineer in Mysore.
" Secretary, Public Works Deptt.
"

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1913.

(Muzrai)

File No. 201

Serial Nos. 1 and 2

Papers Filed.

Month November 1913

SUBJECT.

Arrears of pay and grain-allowance due to Hamid Khan, Peon of the Hindustani ~~Hgl~~ School, Mysore.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES